In light of events, it is important for us at this juncture to condemn outright the bombings by the enemies of peace. Whatever their faction, whatever their affiliations, whatever their politics, we are unanimous in saying this.

By killing and terrorizing, you have set back the struggle. By disrupting the lives of innocents, you have not judiciously brought attention to the history of discrimination in the north. Instead you have validated suspicion and mistrust and made the job of peacemaking that much more difficult.

Having made this plea, we in the Friends of Ireland send our sympathies to all the families who have been the victims of violence and terror over the years. Like a wound re-opened, this breach of the peace pains you perhaps the most.

At the same time we congratulate the masses of people, Protestant and Catholic, unionist and republican, who have demonstrated to take back the peace. We stand with them in spirit and encourage them wholeheartedly.

It is significant that 1 year ago, in our St. Patrick's Day statement, we spoke confidently about peace as a result of the cease fire. We now look hopefully toward next year when we may speak of cease fire and peace as a result of all-party talks.

We note that St. Patrick's Day is both a Catholic and Protestant holiday. The Friends use this occasion to remember and restate our commitment to all the people of Ireland. And it is important for all Irish people to know that we believe firmly in the philosophy of the Forum for Peace and Reconciliation, with whom our congressional delegation met when in Dublin with the President in December. Simply stated, the philosophy is this: There must be room in Ireland's future for all the cultures and traditions of its past.

We will continue to support economic assistance by way of the International Fund for Ireland and other means. Established in 1986, the Fund creates jobs, which in turn promote social development, which in turn encourages reconciliation among all groups. We believe this all the more after touring with President Clinton at a business park in Belfast supported by the Fund.

Lastly, we applaud the work of former Senator George Mitchell, the President's envoy, and stand ready to assist his significant effort in any way we can.

It is a tentative time in Ireland. While in Belfast just a few months ago, many of us met with the political leaders on all sides of the struggle. We heard consistently, even from those who are affiliated with paramilitaries on both sides, that peace is an honorable goal, a desirable goal. Events may have slowed the advance of peace—but we do not believe violence can ever erase the desire.

The Friends of Ireland properly represents the will of the United States as it relates to our alliance with the people of Ireland, north and south. We want very much for there to be peace and prosperity in Ireland.

We pledge our continued friendship. We will work tirelessly for peace. And we pray that all leaders will have the wisdom and patience to make this another extraordinary year in Irish history—one which brings what the people demand, a lasting peace.

In light of events, it is important for us at INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AMENDMENTS is juncture to condemn outright the bomb-

## HON. HARRIS W. FAWELL

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 14, 1996

Mr. FAWELL. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased today to introduce the Injunctive Relief Amendments Act of 1996. This legislation will establish a uniform standard governing the award of preliminary injunctive relief under section 10(j) of the National Labor Relations Act [NLRA]. It will also allow parties against whom injunctive relief is sought an opportunity to review and respond to legal memoranda or documents presented to the National Labor Relations Board [NLRB] in support of such relief.

Section 10(j) of the NLRA authorizes the NLRB, upon the issuance of an unfair labor practice complaint, to petition a U.S. district court for appropriate temporary relief or restraining order. Most courts have followed a two-prong test for determining when section 10(i) injunctive relief is appropriate: first, whether there is a reasonable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has occurred, and second, whether, injunctive relief is just and proper. The reasonable cause prong of the test requires the Board to produce some evidence in support of the petition, but does not demand that the court be convinced of the validity of the theory of liability. There is a split among the courts of appeals as to the meaning of the just and proper prong of the test with some circuits opting for a traditional equity test and others for a less demanding standard of whether an injunction is necessary to avoid a frustration of the remedial purposes of the act.

The Injunctive Relief Amendments Act would require the Board to satisfy the higher traditional equity standard before a Federal court could issue injunctive relief under the NLRA. I believe, like in other areas of the law, injunctive relief under labor law should be available only when the traditional equity test for such relief is met. Certainly, the standard for granting any relief under the NLRA should be the same whether your case is heard in Chicago or New York or Boston or Detroit or San Francisco.

The legislation also addresses my observation, harkening back to my own days practicing law, of how closed the process for adjudicating unfair labor practice complaints seems to be. There is no real discovery, as there would be in a lawsuit filed in court, and the respondent in a complaint seems to acquire information about the charges against him or her only by happenstance. The Injunctive Relief Amendments Act tales a small step to open the process by allowing parties to review and respond to materials submitted to the Board in support of seeking injunctive relief under section 10(j). My hope is that opening the process in this way will increase the sense of fairness or impartiality perceived by those who are impacted by the NLRB's adjudicatory processes.

REGULATION OF TOBACCO

HON. MAC COLLINS

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 14, 1996

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, many citizens in Georgia have concerns over the Food and Drug Administration's proposal to regulate tobacco. As a result, the Georgia House of Representatives passed a resolution asking the U.S. Congress to rescind any action giving the FDA authority to regulate tobacco.

I submit Georgia House Resolution 980 for the Congress' careful consideration.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

H.R. NO. 980

By: Representatives Reaves of the 178th, Floyd of the 138th, Hudson of the 156th, Royal of the 164th, James of the 140th and others.

## A Resolution

Petitioning the President of the United States and the Congress of the United States to rescind and remove any action that would give the Food and Drug Administration regulatory powers over the tobacco industry; and for other purposes.

Whereas the tobacco industry has been a vital part of the economy of the State of Georgia for more than 250 years; and

Whereas tobacco products are legally grown and produced in this state for the enjoyment of adults who choose to use those products; and

Whereas tobacco growers are productive citizens of the State of Georgia; and

Whereas the plan by the Food and Drug Administration is to severely and unnecessarily restrict the marketing of legal products grown in the State of Georgia; and

Whereas tobacco companies, growers, tobacco producing states, and individuals who work within the industry sincerely and publicly oppose young people smoking; and

Whereas the laws of Georgia forbid the sale of tobacco products to youth under 18 years of age; and

Whereas the tobacco industry is more than adequately regulated by other state and federal agencies and tobacco products are the most highly taxed commodity in the country; and

Whereas FDA Commissioner Kessler has publicly stated that he wants to put the to-bacco industry, including our tobacco farmers, out of business; and

Whereas regulation of the tobacco industry by the FDA is costly, unnecessary, and unwarranted.

Now, therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives, That this body hereby petitions the President of the United States and the Congress of the United States to rescind and remove any action that would give the Food and Drug Administration regulatory powers over the tobacco industry.

Be it further resolved, That the Clerk of the House of Representatives is authorized and directed to transmit appropriate copies of this resolution to the President of the United States and the Congress of the United States.

In House, Read and Adopted, February 26, 1996.

ROBERT E. RIVERS, Jr.,

Clerk